

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/393,966		9/10/1999	SEIJI TAKEUCHI	35.G2449	3549
5514	7590	09/25/2002			
		LA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEI NEW YORI			ROBINSON, MARK A		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872		
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					. /				
p.		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)					
••		09/39	93,966	TAKEUCHI ET AL					
	Office Action Summary	Exam	in r	Art Unit					
			A. Robinson	2872					
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears or	nth coversheetw	ith the correspond nc ad	dress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN maions of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (1) period for reply is specified above, the maximum is re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a munication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a e statutory minimum of thi and will expire SIX (6) MOI e application to become Al	reply be timely filed try (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this or BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>06 August</u>	<u> 2002</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action	n is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠	Claim(s) 71-85 is/are pending in th	e application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn fron	n consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) 71-85 is/are rejected.		V						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		`						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
· · · _	ion Papers								
•	The specification is objected to by the								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are								
44)	Applicant may not request that any ob	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	a for foreign priorit		\$ 110(a) (d) ar (f)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
a)		, documents have	boon received						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) 🗌 🗸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priori	ty under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notic	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) I			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (PT					
S Patent and	rademark Office								

Application/Control Number: 09/393,966

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 79-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 79 "the outside" lacks antecedent basis. It is unclear in this instance what "outside" references ("outside" of the element, system, apparatus, environment, etc.).

Inasmuch as these claims are able to be understood in light of the 112 rejections made above, the following rejection(s) directed thereto apply:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2872

3. Claims 71,72,82 and 83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sawaki et al.

Sawaki shows a diffractive element in fig. 8 with a light shielding member(33c, etc.), including Cr/Cr oxide, at the periphery thereof.

Regarding claim 83, the wavelength used with the element was not given significant patentable weight since such does NOT further limit the present invention in a structural manner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 73-81,84 and 85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawaki et al.

Regarding claims 73,74,77,78,80,81,84 and 85, although not taught by Sawaki, exposure devices and methods therefore are well known in the art. It would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan at the time of invention to use a

Art Unit: 2872

known prior art exposure device or method with the claimed diffraction element in order to enable manufacture of a semi-conductor wafer or apparatus.

Regarding claims 75 and 79, Sawaki does not teach the specific materials for the light shielding member as set forth in these claims (note columns 4,6 and 17 for a discussion of the materials used for this member). However, each of these materials is well known in the art and would have been obvious art-recognized equivalent materials to those disclosed by Sawaki for the purpose of shielding unwanted light.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Meyers and Burger show optical elements including light shielding members.
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/393,966

Art Unit: 2872

expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 305-3506.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cassandra Spyrou can be reached at (703) 308-1687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

MR

9/19/02

MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER